

No.31
ABRIL DE 2017

Documentos CEDE

ISSN 1657-7191 Edición electrónica.

Peace is much more than doves: The economic benefits of bird-based tourism as a result of the peace treaty in Colombia

Jorge H. Maldonado
Rocío Moreno-Sánchez
Sophia Espinoza
Aaron Bruner
Natalia Garzón
John Myers



Serie Documentos Cede, 2017-31
ISSN 1657-7191 Edición electrónica.
Abril de 2017

© 2017, Universidad de los Andes, Facultad de Economía,
CEDE. Calle 19A No. 1 – 37 Este, Bloque W.
Bogotá, D. C., Colombia Teléfonos: 3394949- 3394999,
extensiones 2400, 2049, 2467
infocede@uniandes.edu.co
<http://economia.uniandes.edu.co>

Impreso en Colombia – Printed in Colombia

La serie de Documentos de Trabajo CEDE se circula con propósitos de discusión y divulgación. Los artículos no han sido evaluados por pares ni sujetos a ningún tipo de evaluación formal por parte del equipo de trabajo del CEDE.

El contenido de la presente publicación se encuentra protegido por las normas internacionales y nacionales vigentes sobre propiedad intelectual, por tanto su utilización, reproducción, comunicación pública, transformación, distribución, alquiler, préstamo público e importación, total o parcial, en todo o en parte, en formato impreso, digital o en cualquier formato conocido o por conocer, se encuentran prohibidos, y sólo serán lícitos en la medida en que se cuente con la autorización previa y expresa por escrito del autor o titular. Las limitaciones y excepciones al Derecho de Autor, sólo serán aplicables en la medida en que se den dentro de los denominados Usos Honrados (Fair use), estén previa y expresamente establecidas, no causen un grave e injustificado perjuicio a los intereses legítimos del autor o titular, y no atenten contra la normal explotación de la obra.

Universidad de los Andes | Vigilada Mineducación
Reconocimiento como Universidad: Decreto 1297 del 30 de mayo de 1964. Reconocimiento personería jurídica: Resolución 28 del 23 de febrero de 1949 Minjusticia.

Peace is much more than doves: The economic benefits of bird-based tourism as a result of the peace treaty in Colombia

Jorge H. Maldonado¹, Rocío Moreno-Sánchez², Sophia Espinoza³, Aaron Bruner⁴,
Natalia Garzón⁵, John Myers⁶

Abstract

Colombia has the greatest bird diversity of any country in the world, with approximately 20% of all bird species worldwide. Advances made by the Colombian government to end long-standing armed conflict and to promote ecotourism can help position Colombia as one of the most important bird watching destinations. This study estimates the economic benefits derived from peace in Colombia through the value that members of the US-based National Audubon Society place on a birding tour of Northern Colombia's Caribbean coast. The study analyzes preferences for a tour that includes the participation of local communities (some of whom were victims of the armed conflict), and visits to areas of importance for bird watching that would offer greater accessibility and safety for visitors after the Peace Agreement. Through the application of the contingent valuation method, results show that birding enthusiasts would be willing to pay, on average, an **additional \$60** per day and per person for a tour inside Colombia, as compared to a similar tour in Costa Rica. In addition to confirming demand for bird-based travel, the study provides information about potential visitors' preferences, which can help guide the development of a strategy to promote bird-based tourism to Colombia.

Keywords: contingent valuation, ecotourism, bird watching, peace process, Colombia.

JEL codes: Q26, Z32, Q51, Q55, O13, D12, C25

¹ Associate professor. Department of Economics. Universidad de los Andes. jmaldona@uniandes.edu.co

² Technical advisor. Conservation Strategy Fund. rocio@conservation-strategy.org

³ Researcher. Conservation Strategy Fund. sophia@conservation-strategy.org

⁴ Senior economist. Conservation Strategy Fund. aaron@conservation-strategy.org

⁵ Research assistant. Department of Economics. Universidad de los Andes. na.garzon663@uniandes.edu.co

⁶ Director, Latin America Program. National Audubon Society. jmyers@audubon.org

La paz es mucho más que palomas: beneficios económicos del acuerdo de paz en Colombia, a partir del turismo de observación de aves*

Jorge H. Maldonado⁷, Rocío Moreno-Sánchez⁸, Sophia Espinoza⁹, Aaron Bruner¹⁰,
Natalia Garzón¹¹, John Myers¹²

Resumen

Colombia tiene la mayor diversidad de aves del mundo, con cerca del 20% de todas las especies del planeta. Los avances del gobierno colombiano por terminar el conflicto armado y por promover el ecoturismo pueden posicionar a Colombia como uno de los destinos de observación de aves más importantes. Este estudio estima los beneficios económicos derivados de la paz en Colombia a través del valor que los miembros de la Sociedad Audubon en Norteamérica le asignan a un paquete turístico de observación de aves en el Caribe Colombiano. El estudio analiza las preferencias por un paquete que incluye la participación de comunidades locales (posiblemente víctimas del conflicto armado) y la visita a áreas de importancia para la observación de aves con mayor accesibilidad y seguridad después de los acuerdos de paz. Usando el método de valoración contingente, los resultados muestran que los observadores de aves estarían dispuestos a pagar en promedio 60 dólares más por día y por persona por un paquete turístico en Colombia, en comparación con uno similar en Costa Rica. Además de confirmar la demanda por este turismo, el estudio da información acerca de las preferencias de los potenciales visitantes, que puede ayudar a guiar el desarrollo de una estrategia que promueva el aviturismo en Colombia.

Palabras clave: valoración contingente, ecoturismo, observación de aves, proceso de paz, Colombia.

Códigos JEL: Q26, Z32, Q51, Q55, O13, D12, C25

⁷ Profesor asociado. Facultad de Economía. Universidad de los Andes. jmaldona@uniandes.edu.co

⁸ Asesora técnica. Conservation Strategy Fund. rocio@conservation-strategy.org

⁹ Investigadora. Conservation Strategy Fund. sophia@conservation-strategy.org

¹⁰ Economista Senior. Conservation Strategy Fund. aaron@conservation-strategy.org

¹¹ Asistente de Investigación. Facultad de Economía. Universidad de los Andes. na.garzon663@uniandes.edu.co

¹² Director, Programa para América Latina. National Audubon Society. jmyers@audubon.org

♣ Una versión en español de este documento se encuentra disponible en: <http://conservation-strategy.org/es/publication/la-paz-es-mucho-m%C3%A1s-que-palomas-beneficios-econ%C3%B3micos-del-acuerdo-de-paz-en-colombia-par#.WPjcSvk1-po>

1. Introduction

Bird watching is the fastest growing recreational activity in the United States, and is bringing about the development of specialized tourism around the world (IDB, Audubon & CREST, 2015). In this market, tropical Latin American countries are attractive and are taking advantage of opportunities to develop a range of bird watching tours. Colombia stands out among these countries for its tremendous potential due to a diversity of current ecotourism activities and its status as the country with the greatest diversity of birds on the planet.

Ongoing negotiations towards a peace agreement between the government of Colombia and the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC) guerrillas, are expected to benefit tourism and attract more foreign visitors to the country. Considering these facts, and also that bird watching is an activity done mainly by Americans and Europeans, there are high expectations for an increased demand for bird watching tours in Colombia. Therefore, it is valuable to know the preferences of potential tourists and their willingness to spend money on what post-war Colombia has to offer.

This study seeks to answer these questions by analyzing the potential value of bird-based tourism in a post-war Colombia scenario. The strategy is to determine the Willingness to Pay (WTP) for a hypothetical bird watching tour in Colombia's Northern Caribbean, which offers great diversity of birds, visits to previously inaccessible areas that were occupied by illegal armed groups, participation of local communities in the provision of tourist services, and improved security while traveling. The analysis also includes an estimate of the potential demand for a bird-based tourism sector in Colombia and the benefits it would generate in the country.

The rest of this document is structured as follows: the following section briefly describes the importance of bird watching as part of the travel industry globally and in Colombia. The third section describes the study methodology. The fourth section gives the main statistical and econometric results, as well as presenting the estimated demand for bird watching tourism in Colombia. The final section provides conclusions and recommendations based on the results.

2. Background

Tourism linked to bird watching is a rapidly growing activity worldwide, mainly in developing countries. The growing number of birdwatchers and birding trips is due to factors that include declining prices for birding equipment, an increased number of bird documentaries

on television, innovation and technological sophistication in photo and video cameras, an increase in specialized guides, the variety of books about the subject, and a growing number of bird watching festivals. Tropical countries have great potential to develop attractive options for this group of specialized tourists. In multiple regions, bird tourism represents a good alternative for generating income in the private sector, protected areas and local communities (Glowinski, 2008). However, success will depend not only on the presence of birds, but also on safety, accessibility, infrastructure, and knowledgeable and experienced guides (IDB, Audubon & CREST, 2015).

Thanks to its many ecosystems, Colombia is one of the most biodiverse countries in the world (Romero et al., 2008). This condition has permitted the development of specialized nature tourism, focused on the observation and appreciation of nature and traditional cultures. One of the categories included within nature tourism is ecotourism, which focuses on areas with special natural and cultural attractions, under a framework of sustainability. Within ecotourism, various specialized segments have been developed, among them bird watching, an activity characterized by observing and interpreting the behavior of birds in their natural environment (MCIT, 2012). In particular, this type of tourism is typically characterized by managing small groups of birdwatchers, with packages that are often costly because of the level of expertise required (Sanchez and Tsao, 2015).

Colombia has the greatest bird diversity of any country in the world (Remsen et al, 2016). Approximately over 1,900 species have been recorded, equivalent to 20% of the global total. The country also has 79 endemic species, 193 near endemic (Chaparro-Herrera et al., 2013), and a total of 139 species that are threatened or at risk of extinction (Renjifo et al., 2014). The country is investing in these natural advantages, and bird watching is one of the activities with high growth prospects within Colombia's nature-based tourism sector (MCIT, 2013).

The country of origin of international birdwatchers traveling to Colombia is led by the US, with over 20% of visitors. Foreign birdwatchers most frequently visit the Departments of Boyacá, Caldas, Quindío, Risaralda, Cundinamarca, Tolima and Huila (GEF et al., 2014). Among several initiatives to promote birdwatching in Colombia, the Audubon Society has been conducting a project whose goal is to use bird watching as a tool for economic development and biodiversity conservation in the north of the country. Northern Colombia has places of

biological importance, such as the Perijá Mountains and the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, as well as highly threatened ecosystems, such as the dry tropical forest (Audubon, 2016).

Although tourism in Colombia is a dynamic and growing sector, the armed conflict and the country's image regarding insecurity has affected the influx of tourists. The nature-based tourism market has also acknowledged this problem, with multiple areas identified as high security risks due to the armed conflict (MCIT, 2013).

In 2011, the Colombian government resumed peace talks with representatives of the armed guerrillas, aimed at agreements to put an end to a war that has lasted more than 50 years. The recently signed peace treaty with the FARC is a major step forward, and will both generate a sense of greater security through the country, as well as be an opportunity to tap into Colombia's bird watching tourism potential by allowing safe access to areas that were formerly inaccessible for tourism. Furthermore, new tourism could be an opportunity to work towards a sustainable economic future with local communities that have been affected by the armed conflict.

3. Methodology

3.1. Valuation and demand estimation

This study used the Contingent Valuation (CV) method as the main tool for analysis. This method focuses on determining the value people assign to changes in their wellbeing as a result of changes in supply conditions of an environmental asset with no market value (Barzev, 2002). Contingent valuation is part of the family of stated preference methods used to estimate the value of a good or service through surveys that ask people if they would be willing to pay a certain amount of money for an increased environmental good or service.

The method consists of designing a hypothetical market, which must be presented to the respondent along with all necessary information about the goods or services to be valued. Relevant information includes the amount of the good offered, the changes associated with its provision, and the payment vehicle (Barrera and Maldonado, 2013). This hypothetical market is part of a questionnaire presented to the respondent, accompanied by additional sections requesting supplementary information needed for analysis.

The hypothetical scenario to be valued must clearly define a baseline scenario, the change being considered, and a post-change scenario. This study considered as a baseline scenario a conventional bird watching tour in Costa Rica, which has similar characteristics to Colombia's Northern Caribbean tour in terms of duration, group size, and guide and transportation services. A tour of Costa Rica was considered as a point of comparison because that country is one of the main destinations for birdwatchers that make their first bird watching trip abroad, and is one of the main tourist destinations for bird watching in Latin America (IDB, Audubon & CREST, 2015).

The valuation scenario should be constructed carefully and rigorously. According Barzev (2002), there are four basic elements to be considered during the planning stages. These are listed below along with the specifics used in this study:

1. **Good or service to be valued:** potential bird watching tourism in Colombia, considering a post-conflict scenario.
2. **Baseline scenario or comparison scenario:** a 10-day bird watching tour in Costa Rica.
3. **Change of environmental good or service:** a 10 day bird watching tour in Colombia, in areas previously affected by the armed conflict, having greater diversity of birds and involving the local communities, formerly affected by the conflict, in providing tourist services. This change is part of the tour package designed by Audubon for Colombia's Northern Caribbean (Northern Caribbean Birding Trail), as defined at: https://www.audubon.org/sites/default/files/%20suggested_itinerary_colombia.pdf.
4. **Payment vehicle:** fare is paid per day per person for the tour of Colombia's Northern Caribbean.

In the contingent valuation method there are different ways to ask about WTP. The most currently accepted in order to avoid bias in responses is known as a referendum format.¹³ With this format the respondent is presented with a predetermined payment, and asked if they are willing to pay that amount. The individual can only answer "yes" or "no" to this question.

To define the amounts to be evaluated, a pilot survey was conducted, asking 236 birdwatchers their willingness to pay using an open format (i.e., asking "what is the maximum

¹³ This format prevents biases at the starting point and strategic biases that occur when respondents use their answers to try to influence the results of the study.

amount you would be willing to pay per person per day for this tour”). The pilot survey was also used to adjust the final questionnaire for clarity.

The price vector was determined based on the values reported in the pilot survey, targeting a sufficiently wide range, but with feasible levels. The final price vector for the tour in Colombia included the following values per person per day: \$260 USD, \$275 USD, \$300 USD, \$350 USD and \$500 USD. In the final survey, each respondent was consulted about his WTP using one of these amounts at random.

In order to estimate the benefits that a bird-based tourism in Colombia would generate, demand was estimated based on the population defined from the WTP results. For this purpose, effective demand was limited to Audubon’s members who: i) are interested in traveling abroad for bird watching; ii) are interested in traveling to Colombia; and iii) have a willingness to pay equal to or greater than the status quo price in Costa Rica, i.e. \$250 USD per day per person. This is a rather conservative estimate of potential demand, for two reasons: first, Audubon's membership is mostly limited to the United States, and there are many birdwatchers from other countries; and second, even within the US, Audubon’s members do not include all American birdwatchers.

Four assumptions were also used in order to estimate the benefits for Colombia in terms of potential impact on income and job creation:

1. People interested in visiting Colombia for bird watching, at a given price, would do so once over the next ten years.
2. Operating costs in Costa Rica and Colombia are similar, and markets are relatively competitive.
3. The number of jobs created per tourist by bird-based tourism would be similar to the proportion created by tourism in general. The World Bank (2016) estimates that 2.56 million international tourists visited Colombia in 2014. These visitors generated 510,000 jobs in hotels, restaurants, transportation and other areas that provide services to tourists (WTTC, 2015). Including the broader effects generated by investments, the impact on value chains, and increased revenues, it is estimated that, in total, tourism generates 1.29 million jobs in the country (WTCC, 2015). This means that there would be a ratio of 5 tourists for each service job directly associated with tourism, and 2 tourists per jobs associated with tourism in general.

4. Colombia can develop a range of travel packages for bird watching that meet the preferences of birdwatchers.

3.2. Survey

In a contingent valuation exercise, surveys must be prepared carefully and rigorously in order to minimize potential biases that could lead to unreliable results. The most sensitive part of the questionnaire's design is the valuation scenario, which must be constructed so that it is credible and the respondent feels he/she is making real decisions, even if it is a hypothetical setting.

In addition to the valuation scenario, the questionnaire should help identify variables that influence respondents' decisions regarding their WTP. Thus, the survey also includes questions related to respondents' preferences and their socioeconomic characteristics. The questionnaire designed for the study consisted of 61 questions, divided into eight sections, each designed to elicit a specific kind of information, as explained below:

1. Introduction. This section included questions related to the respondent's knowledge of general biodiversity issues, as well as individual bird-watching habits.

2. Bird watcher's experience and profile. This section included a set of questions to gather information on the type of observer he/she is, his or her level of experience, and travel preferences for bird watching.

3. Knowledge about Colombia. This section included questions on the respondent's general knowledge about Colombia, its potential as a bird watching destination, previous travel experience to the country, and interest in visiting.

4. Current scenario. Costa Rica was presented as a destination for bird watching, and an average package birding tour in that country was described, for purposes of comparison with Colombia's Northern Caribbean package.

5. Hypothetical scenario. This section of the survey described the hypothetical market for tours of Colombia's Northern Caribbean in a post-conflict scenario. The scenario displayed, which could be available after reaching a peace agreement, would imply a 10-day bird watching tour to five sites of interest including to previously inaccessible areas, with the possibility of a larger number of bird sightings (over 900 species), the participation of local communities previously affected by the armed conflict as tourist service providers, and greater security during the tour.

6. Willingness to pay. Whereas the objective of this study is to assess the bird-based tourism potential in Colombia in a post-conflict scenario, the strategy is to determine willingness to pay (WTP) for the hypothetical tour above mentioned, specifically in Colombia's Northern Caribbean. This scenario is compared with an average bird watching tour in Costa Rica, which would be similar in terms of accommodation, transportation, group size and other services, but is different from the Colombian tour in terms of bird diversity (wealth and abundance), the possibility of observing new species and involvement of local communities. This scenario is used as a strategy to determine the economic value that respondents give to the possibility of bird watching tourism in Colombia, a country where, in the past, security conditions limited such activity. Thus, the approach allows an approximation of the value, in terms of bird watching, of ending the armed conflict.

After presenting the details of the tours in Costa Rica and Colombia, the respondents were asked if they were willing or not to pay a specific amount for the tour. As mentioned, each respondent was randomly assigned one of the five values. The specific wording of the valuation question was as follows:

If an average tour package, such as that described for Costa Rica, costs \$ 250 USD per day per person, would you be willing to pay \$XXX USD per day per person for the tour in Colombia's Northern Caribbean, with all the characteristics described above, which are: greater abundance and diversity of birds, participation of local communities and improved tour conditions as a result of increased security after the Peace Treaty?

As shown, a comparison is made against a tour costing \$250 USD/day/person; therefore, the price vector used (260, 275, 300, 350 and 500 dollars) seeks to determine the additional marginal value associated with the Colombian tour (10, 25, 50, 100 and 250 dollars, respectively).

Where respondents declared that they were not willing to pay the additional amount, a second question was asked to find out if they were willing to pay an amount equal to that of the Costa Rican tour, that is, \$250 USD/day/person.

7. Follow-up and control questions. Follow-up questions to the WTP response were asked in order to identify the reasons why respondents answered negatively to the willingness to pay question. This information permitted a determination of whether the

respondent refused to pay as a form of protest, or based exclusively on socioeconomic factors, such as costs.

8. Socioeconomic questions. This final set of questions was designed to collect information on the personal characteristics of the bird watchers being surveyed, including related to their gender, age, marital status, level of education, occupation, place of residence and annual income.

The survey was designed to be posted online through an e-survey platform. Audubon sent invitations to registered members, and responses were received between April 8 and 17, 2016. In total, 5,099 surveys were collected, of which 3,118 provided responses to the valuation question. Given that Audubon had approximately 660,000 members at the time of the survey, the sample corresponds to about 1% of effective responses, and involves an error margin of around 2%, with a confidence level of 98%, in a system of simple random sampling.

The collected sample is representative of the birdwatcher population mainly in the US because most Audubon members of Audubon are Americans. However, it is also significant to point out that there were a number of respondents from Canada and, a smaller number, from Latin America, Europe, Asia and Oceania.

4. Results

The results obtained from the questionnaires are presented in three parts. In the first part, we present statistical results relating to respondents' characteristics. Subsequently, econometric models were developed to calculate willingness to pay. In the third part, we present results related to estimated demand.

4.1. Descriptive statistics of respondents

Of the total respondents, 68% are female, which is consistent with the composition of Audubon's membership, as well as with the trends identified by IDB, Audubon and CREST (2015). Ninety-one percent are US citizens with an average age of 58 years old. A large percentage of respondents (60%) reported being married or in a civil union.

Regarding educational levels, 76% of respondents have attended college or post graduate study (41% postgraduate, 35% college), also consistent with the results found by IDB, Audubon & CREST (2015), which states that, on average, birdwatchers have high educational levels. Regarding occupation, the sample contained similar proportions of working (42%) and retired

(43%) people. Average reported annual income level is around \$78,000 USD, with 42% of respondents reporting incomes below \$50,000 USD.

Of those surveyed, only 49% considered themselves active members of Audubon, although 64% reported themselves to be active birdwatchers. Seventy seven percent go bird watching near home (less than a mile away), while 66% also go birding away from home (more than a mile away). Seventy four percent have at some time gone birding in states other than their home state, with an average of 10 total states visited. It should be noted that, according to a national survey carried out in 2011 by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), 88% of birdwatchers went birding near their homes, while only 38% did so far away. In this sense, results found in this study may reflect an increasing trend in recent years of birdwatchers wanting to travel to go bird watching.

Those who do not practice bird watching away from their homes or who do not travel to other states for that purpose stated that their decision is mainly because they do not have enough information about places to visit, costs are too high, or simply because they are not interested. Regarding respondents' skill and experience as birders, respondents on average have 19 years of experience as birdwatchers, and 34% have a bird list. Within this latter group, the average number of birds observed by a respondent is 330.

To classify respondents according to a typology of birdwatchers, we used the categorization proposed by IDB, Audubon and CREST (2015), as follows:

- i. Experts or hardcore birders. Specialist observers with intense dedication to bird watching, not interested in undertaking activities additional to bird watching, and willing to travel long distances to see new or rare species.
- ii. Enthusiasts. Type of birdwatcher who is a nature lover, who is satisfied with seeing any bird species, and is interested in socializing when traveling as well as experiencing other nature and cultural activities.
- iii. Casual travelers or ecotourists. Non-specialized tourists who combine bird watching with other activities, interested in visiting areas accessible by road and seeing flashy or iconic species with less effort and more comfort.

This study found that 2% of respondents define themselves as expert or hardcore birders, 40% as enthusiasts, and 58% as casual observers.

On a scale of 1 to 6, where 1 is novice and 6 is an expert, respondents rated themselves mostly in the first three levels, with an average value of 2.5. Moreover, the largest percentage of respondents (44%) reported that the number of birds they can identify, visually or audibly, is in the range of 1 to 20. Both results are consistent with the predominant types of birdwatchers in the sample – casual and enthusiastic, who, unlike hardcore birders, are less dedicated and competitive about bird watching because birding is not the only activity they practice, instead combining it with other pursuits associated with nature tourism. However, it is significant that, among respondents who declare being expert observers, only 59% claimed to be able to identify more than 100 species of birds.

Regarding experience in tours outside of respondents' place of residence, 47% of respondents reported having traveled to other countries to observe birds, with an average of 6 countries visited. Considering the most recent tours taken by these birdwatchers, the most visited countries were Costa Rica, Canada, Mexico and Ecuador. When asked about their intentions for future travel, 65% of respondents answered that the countries they wanted to visit were primarily Costa Rica, Australia and Brazil.

When we asked those who had recently (in the last two years) gone on a bird watching tour, the following information was obtained: the average cost of their tour was \$2,884 USD, with an average duration of 9 days and an average group size of 11 people; this value did not include the price of tickets to and from their country of origin.

Within the group of people who have not traveled outside their country and do not intend to do so in the future, the main reasons stated were cost, lack of interest, personal security during travel, and health issues.

Birdwatchers' main interest (35%) when they go on tours is to see species that had never seen before. Also, when asked what they expected to see when they took a birding trip, the types of birds that respondents mentioned most frequently were songbirds, birds of prey, and species that are migratory, colorful or endemic.

With regard to the importance that birders assign to different characteristics of potential birding sites (again using a scale of 1 to 6, where 6 is very important and 1 not important) respondents revealed that the features that are most important to them are: the diversity of habitats and landscapes (4.3), the abundance of birds (4.2), the presence of species they cannot

see in other places (4.1), and the presence of migratory and endemic birds (4). On the other hand, using the same scale, aspects that respondents considered most important regarding tour logistics are: safe food and drinking water (4.8), personal safety during the trip (4.5) and availability of experienced guides (4.3).

Other characteristics considered important included: opportunities to learn new things (4.8), and have guides who are fluent in English (4.7) and have a lot of experience (4.7). It should also be emphasized that respondents considered important (4.3) that tours support local communities and that benefits be shared equitably.

Finally, respondents indicated that they are interested in participating in various additional activities during their bird watching trips. Among the most frequently mentioned are wildlife observation (besides birds), exploring the local cuisine, seeing different landscapes, visiting local monuments, taking photographs, visiting local communities, and learning more about indigenous cultures. Once again, these results are similar to those found by IDB, Audubon & CREST' (2015), which finds that birdwatchers like to participate in additional activities, the most common being wildlife observation, gardening, hiking and photography.

In terms of the respondents' knowledge about Colombia, almost 50% were aware that the country is culturally diverse and one of the most biodiverse countries in the world. However, although 56% say that Colombia is an important country for bird watching, more than 70% did not know that Colombia has the world's greatest bird diversity. On the other hand, 54% believe it is an unsafe country to visit, due to the armed conflict.

Of those respondents who indicated they had never traveled to Colombia (94%), half of them stated that they are interested in visiting the country. Of those who have visited Colombia, they have done so an average of two times. Only 22% traveled to bird watch, but it is significant that those who traveled for this purpose have done so, on average, four times. The most visited areas for bird watching were the Sierra Nevada-Santa Marta, and Bogotá and surroundings. When consulted about their experience, people who have visited Colombia rated their tours positively: on a scale from 1 to 6 (1 very poor, 6 excellent), respondents gave high ratings to the experience of bird watching itself (5.0), as well as knowledgeable guides (4.7) and personal safety during travel (4.6). These good ratings are consistent with respondent's intention to visit the country again at some point: 94% of those who had visited Colombia want to do it again.

4.2. Estimation of the Willingness to Pay

Estimation of WTP was carried out only for the subset of respondents who reported an interest in leaving their country of residence for bird watching. This is because it is assumed that those who are not interested in a future trip would not be potential participants in the proposed Colombia tour, and therefore should not be considered.

Approximately 65% of respondents (2,795) said they were interested in traveling abroad for bird watching. Seventy-eight percent of those in this group responded to the WTP question, resulting in a final sample of 2,170 respondents from whom WTP was derived. It should be recalled that each respondent was asked about her willingness to pay only one random value from the vector. Once the sample was filtered for interest in travel abroad, the distribution of these values was analyzed to verify its randomness among this subgroup. Table 1 shows that randomization is effective, i.e., values asked were evenly distributed (between 19% and 22% per consulted value, with an expected 20% each).

Table 1 also shows the percentage of positive and negative responses obtained for each value proposed. Economic theory predicts that higher values will generate a lower percentage of positive responses, which was confirmed by the results of this study. The percentage of "Yes" answers decreases when the cost of the hypothetical tour increased. This reduction occurs gradually as the proposed amount increased.

Table 1. Payment vector and responses to the WTP question

Value per day (\$USD)	Marginal	Number of total responses	Percentage	Number of responses YES	% Response YES	Number of responses NO	% Response NO
260	10	404	19%	237	59%	167	41%
275	25	488	22%	259	53%	229	47%
300	50	425	20%	218	51%	207	49%
350	100	408	19%	174	43%	234	57%
500	250	445	21%	81	18%	364	82%
Totals		2,170	100%	969	45%	1,201	55%

To estimate the WTP, a Probit regression model was used. The dependent is the decision to pay or not, and is dichotomous (1 if the respondent states they would pay for the tour at that price; 0 if not). First, a basic model (univariate) was estimated, using the proposed amount (*BID*) as the independent variable:

$$\text{Pr(Yes/No)} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{BID} + \varepsilon$$

Afterwards, to examine how the respondents' particular characteristics may affect their willingness to pay, we estimated a second model, which includes some additional relevant features as explanatory variables. Among the variables included were the socio-demographic characteristics of the respondent (income and age), characteristics related to his/her experience as a birder (type of observer: casual, enthusiastic or hardcore), and characteristics related to his/her experience as birdwatcher outside their country of residence (if the person had traveled abroad, visited Colombia and if interested in visiting Colombia). Including these variables, the Probit model used was:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pr(Yes/No)} = & \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{BID} + \beta_2 \text{Income} + \beta_3 \text{Age} + \beta_4 \text{CasualBirder} + \beta_5 \text{EnthusiasticBirder} \\ & + \beta_6 \text{VisitCol} + \beta_7 \text{TravelAbrd} + \beta_8 \text{InterestCol} + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since the type of observer consists of three categories that add up to 100%, it was necessary to remove one category from the regression to avoid perfect linearity. In this case, we omitted the hardcore birder category, which became the basis of comparison for the effect of being in the other two categories.

Table 2 shows a summary of the descriptive statistics of variables included in the model (using the above-mentioned filter). The average annual income is approximately \$80,000, and the average age is 57 years. With regard to type of birdwatcher, casual birdwatchers or ecotourists represent 43% of the sample, 53% are enthusiasts, and 3% are experts (hardcore).

The results of the econometric analysis (Table 3) indicate that all variables are statistically significant; that is, they individually explain the probability of the respondent's decision regarding willingness to pay the proposed amount. The first model shows that an increase in the amount to be paid decreases the probability of accepting the tour, at a 99% level of significance, which is consistent with the economic theory.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of socio-demographic variables considered in the econometric model

Variable	Number of observations	Average	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Income (\$USD)	1,919	80,097	73,036	25,000	350,000
Age	2,019	57	13.67	18	91
Bird watcher type "Ecotourist"	2,392	43%	0.496	0	100
Bird watcher type "Enthusiast"	2,392	53%	0.499	0	100
Bird watcher type "Hardcore/Expert"	2,392	3%	0,175	0	100
Has already visited Colombia	2,386	7%	0.256	0	100
Has traveled to countries outside of the US	1,881	53%	0.499	0	100

In the second regression, we found that the higher a respondent's income, the more likely they are to say yes to paying for the tour, while an increase in respondent's age decreases the probability of being willing to pay. In addition, birdwatchers who are classified as "casual" and "enthusiasts" are less likely to accept when compared to those who are classified as expert observers (hardcore), by 18 and 14 percentage points, respectively. On the other hand, having previously visited Colombia increased the likelihood of being willing to pay by 10 percentage points; this probability is increased by five percentage points for those who had traveled outside the United States. Finally, a declaration of interest in visiting Colombia as a bird watching destination increases the probability of being willing to pay by 19 percentage points

Econometric results are used to estimate the willingness to pay. In the univariate model, mean WTP can be estimated by calculating:

$$WTP = -\beta_0/\beta_1$$

Table 3 Estimates of Probit models (model 1, univariate; model 2, multivariate)

VARIABLES	Marginal effects	
	Model 1	Model 2
	Decision / Pr(Yes/No)	Decision / Pr(Yes/No)
BID	-0.00179 ***	-0.00188 ***
	(0.00013)	(0.00017)
Income		0.00106 ***
		(0.00019)
Age		-0.00258 **
		(0.00102)
Casual observer		-0.18344 **
		(0.07311)
Enthusiastic observer		-0.13544 *
		(0.0741)
Visited Colombia		0.1038 **
		(0.0529)
Traveled abroad		0.0520 *
		(0.02934)
Interest in visiting Colombia		0.19246 ***
		(0.05219)
Observations	2,170	1,472
Log likelihood	-1,395.84	-909.37
LR chi2	191.72***	213.05***

Note: Standard errors in parentheses. *** P <0.01; ** P <0.05; * P <0.1

In the case of the multivariate model, WTP is estimated by calculating:

$$WTP = -[\beta_0 * Constant + \beta_2 * Income + \beta_3 * Age + \beta_4 * CasualBirder + \beta_5 * EnthusiasticBirder + \beta_6 * VisitCol + \beta_7 * TravelAbrd + \beta_8 * InterestCol] / \beta_1$$

These calculations show that the average willingness to pay for a bird-based tour in Colombia's Northern Caribbean, which includes greater abundance and diversity of birds, participation of local communities in providing tourist services, and improvements in personal security conditions, is \$303 USD when no additional explanatory variable is taken into account (model 1). This means that respondents are willing to pay an average of 53 extra dollars per day per person for a bird watching tour in Colombia, as compared to a tour in Costa Rica (\$250 USD), because they positively value the characteristics described above.

When incorporating other explanatory variables (model 2), the estimated average WTP is \$310 USD, seven dollars more than the WTP estimated in the model 1. This model corrects the previous estimation by considering respondents' characteristics, although the change observed is not particularly large in magnitude. Thus, in the multivariate model, it is estimated that respondents are willing to pay an average of an additional 60 dollars per day per person for a bird watching tour in Colombia, compared with the base tour of Costa Rica.

4.3. Estimation of potential demand

These analyses show that international demand for bird watching in Colombia is significant, both in terms of percentage of birders interested in visiting the country, and in terms of their willingness to pay for a tour with the stated characteristics. The scope of the market can be estimated by multiplying the percentage of birdwatchers that declared an interest in visiting other countries to practice bird-based tourism (65% of Audubon members) by the percentage of people who are specifically interested in visiting Colombia (another 65%). This calculation suggests that 278,850 members of Audubon (the defined population for this study) would be interested in visiting Colombia for bird watching. In addition, approximately 193,050 people combine their interest in visiting Colombia with a willingness to pay higher than average prices observed for Costa Rica.

To better understand the economic impact Colombia could expect if it develops a bird-based tourist sector, it is necessary to consider the relationship between the price of the tour and the expected number of visitors. To this end, a demand curve was estimated for a tour with the characteristics analyzed in this study. The demand curve was constructed based on the multivariate mode, which suggests a potential market size equivalent to 174,635 people interested

in traveling to Colombia for bird watching and willing to pay at least \$250 USD/day/person for the tour.

There are several possible ways to estimate a demand curve based on the model used in this study (for example: Greiner and Rolfe, 2004; Kahn, 2009; Loomis and Keske, 2009; Bruner et al., 2015). In this case, we used the linear demand model. This choice is justified first because the distribution of the observed responses is linear, and second, linearity generates the curve that best fits the observed characteristics; that is, demand quantity is reduced by price increases, there are no negative demand values and demand is relatively elastic. Linear demand is calculated by extrapolating the marginal effect of price on probability of being willing to pay, estimated from the average values of all independent variables.

From this demand curve, at a price of \$310 USD per day per person, 86% of birdwatchers interested in traveling to Colombia would take the tour of the Northern Caribbean. However, if the daily cost per person were equal to the average cost of Costa Rica (\$250 USD), 97% would be interested in traveling to Colombia and take the tour. An equivalent to twice the average daily cost in Costa Rica (\$500 USD) would reduce the demand to half (about 87,465 people). The shock price would be at \$770 USD/day/person, which would induce an almost zero demand.

To provide an estimate of total expenditures on package tours, profits and job creation, we combine the demand curve at different prices with the assumptions described above. If the average price of a tour in Colombia was \$275 USD/day/person, the expected number of birdwatchers would be 16,126 a year, spending about \$44 million USD annually in tours, which would translate into \$4 million USD annual benefits, and generate 8,092 new permanent jobs. A price equivalent to the estimated average WTP of \$310 USD/day/person would shift the annual number of birdwatchers to 14,978; spending would increase to \$46 million USD and annual earnings to \$9 million USD, and the number of new jobs created would be approximately 7,516. Table 4 shows the expected economic impact of a bird-based tourism market in Colombia, according to a range of possible prices for a tour with the characteristics analyzed here.

Table 4 Scope and economic impact of a bird watching market in Colombia at different price levels of the tour

	Day/person Price (\$US)				
	250	275	310	350	500
Number of tourists per year	16,946	16,126	14,978	13,667	8,747
Annual expenditure on tours (millions)	42.4	44.3	46.4	47.8	43.7
Annual profit (millions)	-	4.0	9.0	13.7	21.9
Jobs created	8,503	8,092	7,516	6,857	4,389

5. Conclusions and discussion

This study analyzed the preferences of birdwatchers (mainly from US) for a birding tour in Colombia, in a post-conflict scenario. In particular, we evaluated a tour designed to include previously inaccessible areas that allow for better bird watching (diversity and abundance), ensure the participation of local communities in providing tourist services, and offer greater security while traveling. We carried out both an economic valuation, and an estimation of demand for tours with the abovementioned characteristics. These exercises help to approximate the value of the benefits associated with a peace scenario in Colombia.

The analysis also helps to increase knowledge about the profile of bird watchers and Colombia's bird tourism potential, and the potential for packages such as the tour of the Northern Caribbean that can be put into practice in a post-conflict scenario.

Given the size of the sample collected, this study is based on a statistically representative design and a valuation technique that allows us to reach robust conclusions about the value birdwatchers place on the particular features of the Colombian tour.

The information obtained shows that 65% of respondents would be interested in bird watching tours outside their country of residence. Within that group, 65% are interested in Colombia. In addition, of those birdwatchers who intend to travel outside their country, 45% are willing to pay an additional amount for a bird watching tour in Colombia (when the armed conflict is over), as compared to the price of a conventional tour in Costa Rica.

Econometric analysis showed that birders are willing to pay an average amount of \$310 USD/day/person for the tour in Colombia; that is, an additional \$60 above the amount they

would pay in Costa Rica, because the tour in Colombia offers greater abundance and diversity of birds, participation of local communities that fell victim to the armed conflict, and improved security conditions as a result of the peace treaties. These characteristics are relevant to the participants in the survey, with personal security being the most relevant (important to 75% of respondents), followed by larger bird diversity in Colombia (65%) and the involvement of local communities in providing tourist services as an alternative form of income (65%).

Moreover, analysis of demand suggests that a total of 278,850 birdwatchers/observers would be interested in visiting Colombia for birding. Considering the population that would be willing to pay the estimated average WTP of \$310 USD/day/person for a tour with the particular characteristics analyzed, the number of birdwatchers would be 14,978 annually; these visitors would spend \$46 million USD and generate profits of \$9 million USD, while generating about 7,516 new jobs.

In addition to the econometric and demand analysis, descriptive statistics are relevant regarding the steps that are needed to promote bird watching in Colombia and improve the sector in alignment with respondents' preferences. In this regard, we found that a significant percentage of respondents (over 50%) are aware of Colombia's natural and cultural diversity and consider it an important destination for bird watching. However, over 70% do not know that the country has the greatest diversity of birds in the world. This suggests that it will be valuable to increase efforts to disseminate information about Colombia's tourism potential in regard to bird watching. Such communications could help change travelers' intentions of visiting and shift the priority destinations of birdwatchers, considering that Colombia is not currently among the main places of interest for birding.

In relation to respondents' preferences related to bird watching during tours, we found that major interests include visiting places with a variety of habitats, bird abundance, and presence of birds that cannot be observed in other regions. On the other hand, the main types of birds that observers expect to see are songbirds, birds of prey, and those that are migratory, colorful or endemic. Since Colombia has representative birds within each of the preferred categories expressed by birdwatchers, it is important that the travel industry portrays this diversity as one of the country's unique qualities, which could help motivate and increase interest in visiting Colombia to practice bird watching in the future.

Furthermore, with respect to the characteristics of birding tours themselves, respondents give great importance to safety during the trip, the availability of healthy food and safe drinking water, and experienced guides fluent in English. In this regard, it is essential that bird-based tourism in Colombia take meaningful steps to promote ongoing improvement in each and every one of the abovementioned characteristics. The issue of personal security is particularly worth highlighting, because once the peace in Colombia is reached, it will undoubtedly help to capture the interest of foreign tourists in general and in particular the special segment comprised of birdwatchers.

The fact that a good percentage of birders who are members of Audubon are willing to pay an extra amount for the particular qualities of a tour in Colombia, at the end of the armed conflict, reflects the value potential tourists give to a peace scenario. Meeting the expectations of birdwatchers will help to increase demand. This, along with better advertising efforts, information and services, would contribute in positioning Colombia among the top international destinations for bird watching.

In order to reach that goal, Colombia must develop a diverse birding tourism sector, designing tours that are competitive in the global market in terms of quality and price, so that business can benefit from a greater demand, as demonstrated by the willingness to pay estimate of Audubon members. Specifically, there appears to be significant opportunity for high-end tours that meet the expectations of market differentiation and provide enhanced features in line with higher prices.

Promoting these markets – and maximizing the benefits for Colombia's war victims – requires financial investment and a friendly political and legal atmosphere. In particular, we recommend investment in training local citizens so that they can acquire the necessary skills to work directly in this emerging sector, including through establishing local bird-based tour companies and travel agencies, as well as improving associated services such as food, handicrafts, transportation and accommodations. Priority means for ensuring that local citizens share in the profits generated by the sector include: i) specific training as bird watching guides and in English language; ii) promoting and getting government support to develop tourist activities, particularly nature tourism; and iii) greater coordination between the private sector (travel agencies, tour-Operators, etc.) and local communities.

Finally, we suggest that investments should focus in those areas of high priority for bird conservation, thus ensuring sustainability of the natural resources on which this source of revenue depends. The active participation of local communities in offering such services is essential to ensuring not only the quality of services offered, but also sustainability. Bird watching has all the conditions in place to become a real source of local benefits. Growing interest around the world for bird watching and the associated emerging market present an alternative for the conservation of biodiversity that does not exclude local communities; instead appropriate promotion of Colombia's bird tourism sector has great potential to finally achieve the distribution of conservation benefits in a more equitable way.

6. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) for its funding through the Landscape Conservation Program, developed by Patrimonio Natural and the National Audubon Society, without whose contribution this study would not have been possible. Authors also want to acknowledge and thank the following: Audubon, and especially Gloria Lentijo, for their contributions and comments during the survey's design phase and providing relevant data for the study. Alfonso Malky, for his comments about the research and the efforts he made for its development. Sergio Bobka, for his assistance in systematizing the data required for the study. Christopher Calonje, for this valuable comments during the survey's design. The Audubon members who kindly agreed to take part in our survey and provide valuable information, comments and recommendations for this investigation. The authors are responsible for the content and do not necessarily reflect the opinion of the sponsors.

7. References

- Audubon (2016). The Northern Colombia Birding Trail. Travel Itinerary. Audubon International Alliances Program. Retrieved Julio 18, 2016, from http://northerncolombiabirdingtrail.com/downloads/Audubon-Itinerary-Colombia-Apr_22_2016.pdf.
- Barrera, C. A., & Maldonado, J. H. (2013). Valoración económica del subsistema de áreas marinas protegidas en Colombia: Un estudio enfocado a turistas especializados. Documentos CEDE 56-2013. Bogotá: Universidad de los Andes–Facultad de Economía–CEDE.
- Barzev, R. (2002). Guía Metodológica de Valoración Económica de Bienes, Servicios e Impactos Ambientales. Corredor Biológico Mesoamericano. Managua: CCAD-PNUD.
- Bruner, A., Kessy, B., Mnaya, J., Wakibara, J., & Maldonado, J. (2015). 'Tourists' Willingness to Pay to Visit Tanzania's National Parks: A Contingent Valuation Study. CSF.
- Chaparro-Herrera, S., Echeverry-Galvis, M., Córdoba-Córdoba, S., Sua-Becerra, A. (2013). Updated list of endemic and near endemic birds of Colombia. *Biota Colombiana* 14 (2): 235-272.
- GEF, CAF, UNEP, Fondo Biocomercio, PB4. (2014) Análisis sectorial Observación de Aves en Colombia 2012-2013. Available at <http://biocomercioandino.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/7.ANALISIS-SECTORIAL-OBS.-AVES.pdf>.
- Glowinski, S. L. (2008). Bird-watching, Ecotourism, and Economic Development: A Review of the Evidence. *Applied Research in Economic Development*. vol. 5, issue 3. 13p. Available at: http://ocean.otr.usm.edu/~w301497/teaching/advice_teaching/docs/glowinski_2008v5n3.pdf.
- Greiner, R. & J. Rolfe. (2004). Estimating consumer surplus and elasticity of demand of tourist visitation to a region in north Queensland using contingent valuation.

Tourism Economics: The Business and Finance of Tourism and Recreation 10 (3): 317-328.

IDB, Audubon and CREST (2015). Market Analysis of Bird-Based Tourism: A Focus on the U.S. Market to Latin America and the Caribbean Including Fact Sheets on The Bahamas, Belize, Guatemala, Paraguay. Center for Responsible Travel, CREST. Consulted in February 2015 at: http://www.responsibletravel.org/resources/documents/birdstudyreport_71615.pdf

Kahn, H. (2009). Willingness to pay and demand elasticities for two national parks: empirical evidence from two surveys in Pakistan. *Environment Development and Sustainability* 11 (2): 293-305.

Loomis and Keske. (2009). Mountain substitutability and peak load pricing of high alpine peaks as a management tool to reduce environmental damage: A contingent valuation study. *Journal of Environmental Management* 90: 1751-1760.

Ministry of Commerce, Industry and Tourism of Colombia – MCIT. (2012). Nature Tourism Policy. Preliminary Document. Bogota, September 2012. Quality and Sustainable Development of Tourism, Vice Ministry of Tourism, Ministry of Commerce, Industry and Tourism. 34 p.

Ministry of Commerce, Industry and Tourism of Colombia – MCIT. (2012). Nature Tourism Policy. Available in: <http://www.mincit.gov.co/minturismo/publicaciones.php?id=4624>.

Ministry of Commerce, Industry and Tourism of Colombia – MCIT. (2013). Business Plan for Nature Tourism of Colombia. Final presentation.

National Audubon Society. (2015). Market Analysis of Bird-Based Tourism: A Focus on the U.S. Market to Latin American and the Caribbean, Including Fact Sheets on The Bahamas, Belize, Guatemala, and Paraguay. Banco Interamericano de Desarrollo.

Remsen, J. V., Jr., C. D. Cadena, A. Jaramillo, M. Nores, J. F. Pacheco, J. Pérez-Emán, M. B. Robbins, F. G. Stiles, D. F. Stotz, & K. J. Zimmer. (2016: Version 04/18/16). A classification of the bird species of South America. *American Ornithologists'*

Union. Available at:
<http://www.museum.lsu.edu/~Remsen/SACCBaseline.html>.

Renjifo, L. M. Gómez, M. F. Velásquez-Tibatá, J., Amaya-Villarreal, A. M., Kattan, G. H., Amaya-Espinel, J. D., and Burbano-Girón, J. (2014). Red Book of birds of Colombia, Volume I: humid forests of the Andes and the Pacific coast. Editorial Pontificia Universidad Javeriana and Instituto Alexander von Humboldt. Bogota DC, Colombia.

Romero M., Cabrera E. Ortiz N. (2008). Report on the state of biodiversity in Colombia 2006-2007. Alexander von Humboldt Biological Resources Research Institute. Bogota D. C., Colombia. 181 p.

Sanchez, P.A., & Tsao, J.F. (2015). Construcción de Estadísticas de Turismo de Naturaleza: Informe Consolidado de Directorio de Establecimientos-Prestadores de Servicios Turísticos del Sector Turismo de Naturaleza. Colombia: Programa de Transformación Productiva - Informe Consolidado Directorio de Establecimientos - Prestadores De Servicios Turísticos Del Sector Turismo De Naturaleza.

World Bank. (2016). International tourism, number of arrivals. Website: <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/ST.INT.ARVL>. Consulted on several occasions in May 2016.

World Travel y Tourism Council (WTTC). (2015). Travel & Tourism Economic impact 2015 Colombia. Website: <https://www.wttc.org/-/media/files/reports/economic%20impact%20research/countries%202015/colombia2015.pdf>.